

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY**

MICHAEL MELODY,)	Case No.:
)	
Plaintiff,)	COMPLAINT
vs.)	(Unlawful Debt Collection Practices)
)	
GC SERVICES, LP,)	
)	
Defendant.)	
)	
)	
)	

COMPLAINT

MICHAEL MELODY (Plaintiff), by his attorneys, alleges the following against GC SERVICES, LP (Defendant):

Nature of the Action

1. This action is brought by Plaintiff pursuant to the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. (“FDCPA”).

Parties

2. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in New Harbor Township, Atlantic County, New Jersey.
3. Plaintiff is allegedly obligated to pay a debt and is a consumer as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).
4. Defendant has its principal place of business in Houston, Texas and conducts business in New Jersey.
5. Defendant is a debt collector as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6), and sought to collect a consumer debt from Plaintiff.

6. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Defendant acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives and insurers.

Jurisdiction and Venue

7. Jurisdiction of this court arises pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d), which states that such actions may be brought and heard before “any appropriate United States district court without regard to the amount in controversy.”
8. Because Defendant conducts business in the state of New Jersey, personal jurisdiction is established.
9. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2).

Factual Allegations

10. On or around March 23, 2018, Defendant placed a collection call to Plaintiff seeking payment for an alleged consumer debt.
11. Plaintiff's alleged debt arises from transactions for personal, family, and household purposes.
12. Defendant called Plaintiff's telephone number at (732) 551-67XX
13. On or around March 23, 2018, Defendant called Plaintiff and left a voicemail message on Plaintiff's answering machine.
14. In the voicemail message, Defendant failed to meaningfully disclose the nature of the call, or state that the call was from a debt collector.
15. In the voicemail message, Defendant directed Plaintiff to call back telephone number (800) 285-3417, which is a number that belongs to Defendant.
16. In the voicemail message, Defendant failed to disclose the purpose of the call was to collect a debt allegedly owed by Plaintiff.

17. Defendant is using false, deceptive and misleading means in connection with attempting to collect a debt by not identifying the purpose of its phone calls or that they are an attempt to collect a debt.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE FDCPA 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq.

18. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates by reference into this cause of action the allegations set forth above at Paragraphs 1-17.
19. Defendant's violations of the FDCPA include, but are not limited to, the following:
- a. Defendant violated §1692(d) of the FDCPA by engaging in conduct of which the natural result is the abuse and harassment of the Plaintiff;
 - b. Defendant violated §1692(e)(11) of the FDCPA by failing to contain the warning in his communication: This is an attempt to collect a debt... communication is from a debt collector.
 - c. Defendant violated §1692(e) of the FDCPA by using false, deceptive or misleading representation or means in connection with the debt collection.

CLAIM FOR RELIEF

20. Defendant's violations of the FDCPA include, but are not limited to, the following:
- a. Defendant violated §1692d of the FDCPA by engaging in conduct of which the natural result is the abuse and harassment of the Plaintiff;
 - b. Defendant violated §1692e(11) of the FDCPA by causing Plaintiff's phone to ring repeatedly and excessively
 - c. Defendant violated §1692(e) of the FDCPA by any other false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the debt collection

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that judgment be entered against Defendant for the following:

- (1) Statutory damages of \$1000.00 pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k;
- (2) Reasonable attorneys' fees, costs pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k; and
- (3) Awarding such other and further relief as may be just, proper and equitable.

Dated: April 5, 2018

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

/s/ Daniel Ruggiero
ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF
Daniel Ruggiero
The Law Office of Daniel Ruggiero
275 Grove Street, Suite 2-400
Newtown, MA 02466
(339)237-0343
Druggieroesq@gmail.com